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Abstract
This application note describes a novel automatable approach to cell lysis and extraction of high molecular weight (HMW) DNA (>30,000 base pairs) from microbial samples, which can be readily sequenced with long-read sequencing technologies such as PacBio or Oxford Nanopore. Lysing the cells and subsequent extraction of HMW DNA from microbial samples is achieved on a Covaris LE220-plus Focused-ultrasonicator using a non-contact method mediated by Adaptive Focused Acoustics® (AFA®). Rapid lysis is achieved in less than 2 minutes for 96 individual bacteria samples and 10 to 60 minutes for 96 individual yeast samples when using a PCR-compatible 96 AFA-TUBE TPX Plate. Both electropherograms and Qubit measurements reveal that high molecular weight DNA can be efficiently extracted using AFA-mediated cell lysis.

Introduction
Efficient cell lysis is required to study many biological functions. It is an essential starting step for molecular diagnostics, immunoassays, protein purification, cancer diagnostics, drug screening, and mRNA transcriptome determination. More specifically, DNA and RNA extracted from microbes collected from sources such as whole blood, stool, sputum, soil, and even groundwater can yield valuable information:

- **Whole Blood:** presence of nucleic acids from fungi and bacteria quickly identifies septic patients [1]
- **Stool:** diversity of bacterial populations as part of the microbiome is linked to a wide range of clinical applications including infectious disease, autoimmune and autoinflammatory disorders, and responsiveness to cancer immunotherapies [2]
- **Sputum:** detection of nucleic acids from M. tuberculosis is necessary for diagnosis of tuberculosis in humans [3]
- **Soil:** detection of nucleic acids from bacterial spores leads to identifying harmful spores (i.e. *B. anthracis*) [4]
- **Groundwater:** characterization via nucleic acids of typical bacterial profiles in groundwater is important for rapid identification of contaminated water sources [5]

Extrinsic limitations to the cell lysis process may include longer processing times, large volumes of reagents, and lack of automation. Additionally, chemical lysis methods using aggressive reagents can leave unwanted cell debris, and have poor compatibility with sequencing. Using a magnetic bead purification process after chemical lysis can compromise yield as some of the beads bind to debris rather than nucleic acids.

The precision and control of Covaris AFA-based extraction using the LE220-plus and LE220R-plus Focused-ultrasonicators, along with the 96 AFA-TUBE TPX Plate, enable highly reproducible and reliable lysis and nucleic acids extraction. The AFA-energetics process allows for efficient, non-contact, and temperature controlled mechanical disruption of cells, which leads to a high yield/high quality extraction while also eliminating factors that can decrease the quality of nucleic acids.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Cell Lysis Methodologies</th>
<th>AFA-mediated Cell Lysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time-consuming, hands-on process</td>
<td>Rapid, hands-free process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of thermal control – heat generated in the system denatures and damages nucleic acids and proteins</td>
<td>High level of thermal control ensures biomarkers are not damaged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inefficient and incomplete lysis</td>
<td>Efficient and tunable cell lysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficult to automate</td>
<td>Simple to automate for high throughput processing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly variable</td>
<td>Low variability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Process comparison between current methodologies and AFA mediated microbial lysis.
Sequencing high molecular weight DNA from bacterial genomes is preferable to lower molecular weight because higher molecular weight translates to accurate sequencing readouts and more complete coverage. PacBio RS II, using P6-C4 chemistry, is highly robust and cost-effective and should be the platform of choice for well-known, difficult-to-sequence bacterial genomes [8].

In this application note, we provide guidelines for various sample preparation protocols using the Covaris LE220-plus/LE220R-plus Focused-ultrasonicators and the 96 AFA-TUBE TPX Plate. The improved protocols enable lysis of a variety of cells, thereby increasing the extraction of HMW DNA. Compatible with PacBio SMRT or Oxford Nanopore sequencing technologies, Covaris AFA-mediated HMW DNA extraction protocols utilize high-throughput analyses. See Figure 1 for a workflow example.

Materials and Methods

Required Materials
Covaris
- LE220-plus Focused-ultrasonicator (PN 500569)
- 96 AFA-TUBE TPX Plate (PN 520272)
- Buffer BB2 contained in the truXTRAC cfDNA Kit – Magnetic Bead (PN 520221)
- Buffer WB2 contained in the truXTRAC cfDNA Kit – Magnetic Bead (PN 520221)
- 96 microTUBE Plate Thin Foil Seals (PN 520235)
- PS Rack 96 AFA-TUBE TPX Plate (PN 500622)

Other
- SpeedBeads™ magnetic carboxylate modified particles, Sigma GE45152105050250
- Covaris truPOP™ Buffer (PN 520268)

Results

Yeast Lysis

Table 3 details the cell count, yield, AFA lysis treatment time, and volumes used for each organism. The electropherograms obtained for S. cerevisiae and Y. lipolytica reveal that the size of the purified DNA is >30,000 bp, as seen in Figure 2 & 3. The %CV of the yield ranges between 5 to 20% depending on the treatment time, cell count, and power level used.
### Table 3. Extraction parameters and subsequent yields from AFA-mediated cell lysis (yeast).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Cell Number Used</th>
<th>Yield via AFA</th>
<th>Treatment Time per 96 AFA-TUBE TPX Plate</th>
<th>Buffer Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y. lipolytica</td>
<td>(\sim 3 \times 10^7) cells</td>
<td>(\sim 1.16) µg</td>
<td>60 minutes</td>
<td>30 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. cerevisiae</td>
<td>(\sim 5 \times 10^7) cells</td>
<td>(\sim 0.72) µg</td>
<td>15 minutes</td>
<td>30 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Extraction parameters and subsequent yields from AFA-mediated cell lysis (bacteria).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Cell Number Used</th>
<th>Yield via AFA</th>
<th>Treatment Time per 96 AFA-TUBE TPX Plate</th>
<th>Buffer Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E. coli (gram -)</td>
<td>(\sim 4 \times 10^8) cells</td>
<td>(\sim 1.98) µg</td>
<td>&lt;1 minute</td>
<td>30 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. monocytogenes (gram +)</td>
<td>(\sim 4 \times 10^8) cells</td>
<td>(\sim 1.95) µg</td>
<td>&lt;1 minute</td>
<td>30 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2.** Superposition of electropherograms (AATI - Fragment Analyzer DNF-492-33 - SS Large Fragment) showing the fragment size distribution of DNA purified from *S. cerevisiae* lysed samples, from 40 to 90,000 bp.

**Figure 3.** Superposition of electropherograms (AATI - Fragment Analyzer DNF-492-33 - SS Large Fragment) showing the fragment size distribution of DNA purified from *Y. lipolytica* lysed samples, from 40 to 90,000 bp.

**Figure 4.** Superposition of electropherograms (AATI - Fragment Analyzer DNF-492-33 - SS Large Fragment) showing the fragment size distribution of DNA purified from *E. coli* lysed samples, from 40 to 90,000 bp.

**Figure 5.** Superposition of electropherograms (AATI - Fragment Analyzer DNF-492-33 - SS Large Fragment) showing the fragment size distribution of DNA purified from *L. monocytogenes* lysed samples, from 40 to 90,000 bp.

**Gram+ and Gram- Bacteria**

**Table 4** details the cell count, yields, buffer volumes, and AFA treatment time used to lyse *E. coli* and *L. monocytogenes*, respectively. The electropherograms presented in **Figures 4 & 5** show similar features compared to *S. cerevisiae* and *L. monocytogenes*, with the DNA size distribution centered at about 35 kb. The %CV for all samples was below 10%, indicating a high degree of repeatability.
**Cell Concentration and AFA Contact Time**

The current methods for cell lysis and DNA extraction take from one hour to overnight to extract DNA at concentrations that are sequenceable [9]. Table 4 compares specifications between Covaris lysis technology versus commercially available kits. In general, DNA yields and concentrations from competing kits are lower as compared to Covaris AFA-mediated extraction. Some kits require a higher cell count with higher volumes of elution and use more reagent volume per sample, which dramatically increases the cost of the extraction. Other methods are limited by cell count, subsequently capping the yield [10]. Even after lengthy and technically challenging protocols, there is no guarantee that the extracted DNA yield will be enough for sequencing purposes (usually 1 to 5 µg DNA requirement) or of high enough quality for a confident sequencing readout from library preparation [11].

DNA extraction using Covaris is linearly dependant, with both cell count and treatment times, exhibiting $R^2$ values of 0.99 and 0.98 respectively, as shown in Figures 6 & 7. Yield and cell counts used are independent of the reagent volume (30 µl). The treatment times can be shorter while also providing competitive yields to other methods, by using less buffer and lysing in less time.
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**Figure 6.** Plot of DNA yield as a function of cell count using 1 minute of AFA treatment. The DNA yield increases linearly as the cell counts of *S. cerevisiae* varies from $1 \times 10^7$ to $1 \times 10^8$.
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**Figure 7.** Plot of DNA yield as a function of AFA treatment time. The DNA yield increases linearly as AFA-mediated treatment time increases. In this experiment ~ $3 \times 10^7$ cells of *Y. lipolytica* were used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Kit</th>
<th>Prep Time</th>
<th>Lysis Volume</th>
<th>Automation Available? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Cell Count Used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Covaris</td>
<td>truPOP</td>
<td>Bacteria or Yeast: 10 to 30 min</td>
<td>30 to 40 µl</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Bacteria: $4.0 \times 10^8$ Yeast: $5.0 \times 10^7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ThermoFisher [12]</td>
<td>Genomic DNA Extraction - PureLink™</td>
<td>Bacteria: 35 min</td>
<td>400 µl</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Bacteria: $\leq 2 \times 10^9$ Yeast: $\leq 5 \times 10^7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roche [13]</td>
<td>MagNA Pure 96 DNA and Viral NA Small Volume Kit</td>
<td>Bacteria: 60 min</td>
<td>300 to 450 µl</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Bacteria: $5 \times 10^8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promega [14]</td>
<td>Maxwell® RSC Cultured Cells DNA Kit</td>
<td>Bacteria: 45 min</td>
<td>400 µl</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Bacteria: $2 \times 10^9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qiagen [15]</td>
<td>QiAamp DNA Microbiome Kit</td>
<td>Bacteria: 120 min</td>
<td>410 µl</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Bacteria: N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5.** Comparision of Covaris DNA extraction protocols as compared to other available kits. DNA yield is normalized based on recommended cell counts.
Conclusion

Historically, the extraction of high molecular weight DNA is often an unreliable, time-consuming process. We have shown reproducible and robust data for cell lysis and HMW DNA extraction from a variety of cell types using optimized protocols, as outlined in the Materials and Methods section. Cell volumes and treatment times can be adjusted to give higher DNA yields. These protocols utilize the 96 AFA-TUBE TPX Plate on the Covaris LE220-plus Focused-ultrasonicator to quickly perform cell lysis and extraction with no transfer steps until final storage of the extracted product. Protocols outlined in this technical note may be used by researchers aiming to extract high molecular weight DNA for various downstream applications.

*SpeedBeads is a trademark of Thermo Fisher Scientific or its subsidiaries.
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